

5

Field of the Invention

10 factors.

To achieve business results or improve any ability, there are employed some tools including: (a) a training tool, in the field of business, for improving "listening ability", "presentation performance", "planning", etc.; (b) a methodology for efficient business achievement, such as "ABC analysis"; and (c) a tool for realizing business results, such as "management with a set goal".

20 For example, suppose there are two sales persons both of who have almost the same business skills and in the same circumstances. Even in this case, there should be some gap in their business results between the two. Sometimes, a new employee may get better results than another sales person having much experience.

25 of sales persons is that they have or lack "the will to do it".

No one yet has correctly found or specified factors which causes workers to have a mind to do it. Therefore, it is not possible to evaluate the will-enhancement factor of

each individual sales person or sales division. As a result of this, no adequate instructions (or advises) for encouraging sales persons (or sales groups) to get business results can not be provided.

There are similar problems for any field such as study, business, sports and so on.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention has been made in consideration of the above. It is accordingly an object of the present invention to provide a system and method for specifying factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve results.

10 Another object thereof is to provide a system and method for determining properties of people which are related to the selected factors.

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a selection system which includes a processor (controller, and so on) and an output device, and selects, from a plurality of factors, one or more factors
15 reliably contributing to enhance target people's will to achieve results, and wherein:

said output device outputs (transmits, sends, supplies, provides, displays, prints, and so on) one or more questions related to the plurality of factors, so as to show the one or more questions to one or more target people;

said processor

20 receives a response to each of the one or more questions output by said output device,

calculates a correlation coefficient of information representing work done by the one or more target people and an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, and

25 selects the one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance the one or more target people's will to achieve results, from the plurality of factors, in a case where the calculated correlation coefficient is equal to or larger than a reference value.

102050 5552860

According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results, a predetermined number of factors reliably contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results can be specified.

The system may include a memory device, and said processor may store, in said
5 memory device, the evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, as reference data used for determining properties of the one or more target people which are related to the one or more selected factors.

The system may comprise a memory device, and said processor: generates an evaluation value vector including a plurality of evaluation values each numerically
10 representing the response to each of the one or more questions related to the one or more selected factors; generates a cluster of one or more evaluation value vectors showing similar tendency to each other; and stores the one or more evaluation value vectors of the generated cluster in the storage device, as reference data used for determining properties of the one or more target people which are related to the one or more selected factors.

15 In order to achieve the above objects, according to the second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a selection system which selects, from a plurality of factors, one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance people's will to achieve results, said system comprising:

output means for outputting (transmitting, sending, providing, supplying, printing,
20 displaying, and so on) one or more questions related to the plurality of factors, thereby to show the one or more questions to one or more target people;

reception means for receiving a response to each of the one or more questions output by said outputting means;

calculation means for calculating a correlation coefficient of information
25 representing work done by the one or more target people and an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions; and

selection means for selecting the one or more factors reliably contributing to

enhance the one or more target people's will to achieve results, from the plurality of factors, in a case where the calculated correlation coefficient is equal to or larger than a reference value.

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the third aspect of the present
5 invention, there is provided a

method for selecting, from a plurality of factors, one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance people's will to achieve results, said method comprising the steps of:

outputting (providing, supplying, transmitting, sending, printing, displaying, and so
10 on) one or more questions related to the plurality of factors, thereby to show the one or
more questions to one or more target people;

receiving (obtaining) a response to each of the output one or more questions;

calculating a correlation coefficient of information representing work done by the one or more target people and an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, and

selecting the one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance the one or more target people's will to achieve results, from the plurality of factors, in a case where the calculated correlation coefficient is equal to or larger than a reference value.

According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance
20 workers' will to achieve business results, a predetermined number of factors reliably
contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results can be selected.

The method may include the step of storing the evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, as reference data used for determining properties of the one or more target people which are related to the one or more selected factors.

The method may include the steps of:

generating an evaluation value vector including a plurality of evaluation values each

numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions related to the one or more selected factors;

generating a cluster of one or more evaluation value vectors showing similar tendency to each other; and

- 5 storing the one or more evaluation value vectors of the generated cluster in the storage device, as reference data used for determining properties of the one or more target people which are related to the one or more selected factors.

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a program for controlling a computer to execute the steps of:

- 10 outputting (supplying, displaying, printing, and so on) one or more questions related to a plurality of factors which are to contribute to enhance people's will to enhance results, thereby to show the one or more questions to target people;

receiving a response to each of the output one or more questions;

- calculating a correlation coefficient of information representing work done by the
- 15 target people and an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, and

selecting, from the plurality of factors, one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance the one or more target people's will to achieve results, in a case where the calculated correlation coefficient is equal to or larger than a reference value.

- 20 According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results, a predetermined number of factors reliably contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results can be selected.

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the fifth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a determination system which includes a processor, an output
 25 device and a memory, and determines properties of target people which are related to one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance people's will to achieve results, and wherein:

said output device outputs (supplies, displays, prints, and so on) one or more questions related to the one or more factors, so as to show the one or more questions to

said processor

refers to the reference data stored in said memory, and determines the

According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results, the factors reliably contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results can be selected.

The reference data may be an evaluation value vector, which includes a plurality of evaluation values each numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions related to the one or more factors, and which belongs to a cluster of one or more evaluation value vectors showing similar tendency to each other.

Said processor may show an instruction which is created in accordance with the determined properties of the target people, to the target people.

Said output device may output the determined properties of the target people:

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the sixth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a determination system which determines properties of target people which are related to one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance people's will to achieve results, said system comprising:

storage means for storing reference data used for determining the properties of the target people which are related to the one or more factors;

output means for outputting (supplying, providing, sending, printing, displaying, transmitting, and so on) one or more questions related to the one or more factors, so as to show the one or more questions to the target people;

reception means for receiving a response to the one or more questions; and

determinations means for determining properties of the target people which are related to the one or more factors, based on an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, using the reference data stored in said storage means.

In order to achieve the above objects, according to the seventh aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method for determining properties of target people which are related to one or more factors reliably contributing to enhance people's will to achieve results, said method comprising the steps of:

storing reference data used for determining the properties of the target people;

outputting (supplying, providing, displaying, printing and so on) one or more questions related to the one or more factors;

receiving a response to the one or more questions;

determining the properties of the target people which are related to the one or more factors, based on an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the one or more questions, using the reference data stored in said storage means.

According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance

25 determining properties of the target people which are related to the one or more
factors, based on an evaluation value numerically representing the response to each of the
one or more questions, using reference data used for determining the properties of the

target people.

According to the above structure, of a plurality of factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results, a predetermined number of factors reliably contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results can be selected.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These objects and other objects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent upon reading of the following detailed description and the accompanying drawings in which:

10 FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing the structure of a system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 1A is a block diagram showing the structure of the server shown in FIG. 1.

FIGS. 2A and 2B show a flowchart of the process for specifying the will-enhancement factors;

15 FIG. 3 is a diagram showing a web page, for filling a questionnaire;

FIG. 4 is a diagram showing the data structure of a result of questionnaires;

FIG. 5 is a diagram showing the data structure of intermediate data to be further processed;

FIG. 6 is a diagram showing the data structure of mean values of sales amounts
20 according to respondent;

FIG. 7 is a diagram showing the data structure of means values of sales amounts according to office;

FIG. 8A is a diagram showing the data structure of correlation coefficient data of factors, contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results, and answers of
25 respondents, and FIG. 8B is a diagram showing the data structure of correlation coefficient data of the factors and answers;

FIG. 9 is a diagram showing correlation coefficients of each factor, contributing to

2025052260

enhance workers' will to achieve business results, and answers of respondents of questionnaires;

FIG. 10A is a diagram showing the data structure of a relationship between each of the plurality of factors and the respondents' answers, and FIG. 10B is a diagram showing the data structure of a relationship between each of the plurality of factors and the workers' answers in the unit of office;

FIG. 11A is a diagram showing the structure of vector data according to respondent, and FIG. 11B is a diagram showing the structure of vector data according to office;

FIGS. 12A and 12B are diagrams each showing the structure of base data classified in clusters;

FIG. 13 is a diagram for exemplarily showing clusters according to office;

FIG. 14 is a flowchart for explaining a determination process for determining properties of target people which are related to the selected factors, which is carried out by the system of the present invention;

FIG. 15 is a diagram showing a display page for inputting answers of questions for determining properties of respondents which are related to the selected factors;

FIG. 16 is a diagram showing the data structure of response data;

FIG. 17 is a diagram showing the data structure of mean value data;

FIG. 18 is a diagram showing a display page including instruction toward sales persons and displayed on a display device;

FIGS. 19A and 19B are diagrams each showing base data including representative values of each will-enhancement factor, according to office;

FIG. 20 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in terms of cluster C1 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

FIG. 21 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in

terms of cluster C2 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

FIG. 22 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in terms of cluster C3 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

5 FIG. 23 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in terms of cluster C4 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

FIG. 24 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in
10 terms of cluster C5 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

FIG. 25 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in terms of cluster C6 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B;

FIG. 26 is a radar chart showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement
15 factors which are included in the base data and answers of respondent to be evaluated, in terms of cluster C7 shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B; and

FIG. 27A shows an example of personnel data, and FIGS. 27B and 27C show examples of advisory data.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Explanations will now be made to a system of an embodiment of the present invention based on the accompanying drawings.

The system carries out a process (hereinafter, referred to simply as a selection process) for specifying will-enhancement factor contributing to enhance workers' will to
25 achieve business results, and a process (hereinafter, referred to simply as a determination process) for determining properties of a particular group of people which are related to the selected will-enhancement factors.

As shown in FIG. 1A, the server 11 includes; a controller 111, a hard disk device (HDD) 112, a display device 113, an input section 114, a printer 115 and a transceiver 116 (communication unit) 116.

The controller 111 includes a CPU (Central Processing Unit), a ROM (Read Only Memory), and a RAM (Random Access Memory). The CPU executes a control program, and carries out the process for specifying the will-enhancement factors and determining properties of target people which are related to the will-enhancement factors. The ROM stores basic control program to be executed by the CPU. The RAM stores data, and serves as a work area for the CPU.

The display device 113 displays data and information.

The input section 114 includes an input device, such as a keyboard, mouse, and the

like.

The printer 115 prints data.

The transceiver 116 transmits (sends and receives) data with the local terminals 12-1 to 12-m through the LAN 14 and with the remote terminals 15-1 to 15-n through the LAN 14, the router 13, and the Internet 16.

The local terminals 12-1 to 12-m shown in FIG. 1 are for sales person's business use, are comprises, for example, personal computers to which a browser is installed and can communicate the server 11 through the LAN 14. The local terminals

The router 13 includes firewall and controls the communication between server 11 and the Internet 16.

The server 11, local terminals 12-1 to 12-m and the router 13 are installed, for example, a head office or personnel section of company.

The remote terminals 12-1 to 12-m shown in FIG. 1 are for sales person's use, are arranged in remote offices, are comprised of, for example, personal computers to which a browser is installed and can communicate the server 11 through the Internet 16, the router 13 and the LAN 14.

Explanations will now be made to the operation of the system of the embodiment, which carries out (1) the specifying process and (2) the determination process.

The specifying process is a process for selecting the will-enhancement factors truly contributing to enhance sales person's will to work from candidates of the will-enhancement factors.

The determining process is a process for determining properties (characteristics) of sales persons which are related to the selected will-enhancement factors. In other words, it is a process for determine the sales person or persons are how sensitive to each of the selected will-enhancement factors.

(1) The specifying process will now be explained with reference to a flowchart shown in FIGS. 2A and 2B.

To specify the will-enhancement factors, a plurality of sales persons are will be tested.

Each of the sales person uses their local terminal 12-i ($i=1$ to m) or remote terminal 15-j ($j=1$ to n) to access the server 11 and requests questionnaire for specifying the will-
5 enhancement factors.

The controller 111 of the server 11 receives the request through the transceiver 116 and bus 117 and reads out the questionnaire from the HDD 112 and sends it to the local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j. The local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j receives the questionnaire and displays pages for showing questions, for selecting
10 reliable will-enhancement factors reliably contributing to enhance the sales persons' will to achieve business results, of a plurality of candidates of factors (step S1).

As shown in FIG. 3, the displayed first page 100a include a portion (text box) 101a for inputting an office of respondent, a portion 102a for inputting the respondent's name, a portion 103a for inputting an sales amount of business done by the respondent, and a
15 plurality of questions 104a for selecting reliable will-enhancement factors from nineteen factors. The second and following pages 100b include a plurality of questions.

Next to each of the questions 104a, a portion 105a for inputting a numerical value representing the answer of the question is arranged.

The questionnaire includes questions regarding nineteen factors (pre-selected
20 candidates of will-enhancement factors for sales), based on which reliable will-enhancement factors can be determined, such as (1) good human relations, (2) having cooperativeness, (3) having got compliment from others, (4) desirable position in work-force, (5) reflection of one's ideas about business, (6) using one's discretion, (7) feeling of having attained goal, (8) good payment of business results, (9) having feeling of self
25 usefulness to others, (10) being in good health, (11) having positive mind, (12) having professional mind, (13) confidence in one's skill, (14) having sense of mission, (15) careers, (16) attachment to sales goods, (17) vision in business, (18) directive position,

and (19) self confidence. Each question is assigned to one factor.

For example, the factor (3), compliment from others, includes words of appreciation, encouragement and reprimand from the sales person's boss (supervisor). The factor (4), personnel performance evaluation, includes satisfaction of the personnel performance evaluation. The factor (8), pay, includes satisfaction of the business results done by the sales person and the amount of money paid for the business results. The factor (14), sense of mission, includes the feeling of being pride of him/herself being in the business or the sense of mission to the business. The factor (18), desire, includes desire to take the sales business or vocational aptitude as a sales person. The factor (19), self confidence, includes self confidence as a sales person.

The numerical which is input in each of the portion 105a is one of 1 to 5:

1. Strongly;
2. Somehow;
3. Maybe or Maybe Not;
4. Probably Not; and
5. Rarely.

To prevent any artificial answers, one hundred nineteen questions are randomly displayed, for example.

The respondent inputs answers to the questions one by one. Once the questionnaire displayed on the pages 100 is completely filled out, the respondent clicks a button "execute" arranged on the last page by the input section 114.

In response to this operation, the browser of the local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j sends the input data to the server 11.

The controller 111 of the server 11 forms questionnaire data 200 shown in FIG. 4, based on received data and stores it in the HDD 112 (Step S2).

The questionnaire data 200 is a list personal data and answers of the respondents. Specifically, the questionnaire data 200 includes, as shown in FIG. 4, data items of

"Office Name" of the office to which a corresponding respondent belongs, "Respondent Name", "Sales Amount" regarding sales done by the respondent of the questionnaire, and "Answer" of the one hundred nineteen questions included in the questionnaire.

After the questionnaire data 200 of a predetermined number of respondents, the
5 controller 111 classifies the questionnaire data 200 in accordance with the factors to
create intermediate data 250 shown in FIG. 5 and stores the intermediate data 250 in the
HDD 112 (Step S3).

The intermediate data 250 includes, as shown in FIG. 5, data items of "Office
Name", "Respondent Name", "Sales Amount of Respondent", and "Numerical Value of
10 Answer of Question" for each factor.

Subsequently, the controller 1 obtains mean values of each group of questions which
corresponds to each will-enhancement factor to create, as shown in FIG. 6, mean value
data 300 according to respondent, and stores the created mean value data 300 in the HDD
112 (Step S4). The mean value data 300 includes, as shown in FIG. 6, data items of
15 "Office Name", "Respondent Name", "Sale Data of Respondent", and "Calculated Mean
Value", for each factor.

The mean values of the answers of the questions can be obtained as follows:

The controller 111 sets the numerical values of the answers of the question which
the read intermediate data 250 represents to predetermined values. Specifically; if the
20 numerical value of an answer is "1", the controller 111 sets the value to "5"; if the value
of an answer is "2", the controller 111 sets the value to "4"; if the value of an answer is
"3", the controller 111 sets the value to "3"; if the value of an answer is "4", the controller
111 sets the value to "2"; and if the value of an answer is "5", the controller 111 sets the
value to "1". After this, the controller 111 adds the set values in the unit of factors, and
25 divides a resultant addition by the number of questions, so as to obtain the mean value.

The controller 111 reads out mean values of the same factor in the unit of each
office from the mean value data 300 and adds the read mean values of the same factor

with each other, and adds sales amount of the respondents in the unit of each office.

The controller 111 divides a resultant addition of the mean values, by the number of the read mean values, and divides a resultant addition of the sale data, by the number of the sales amount, thereby to obtain a mean value of the answers for each factor according to
5 office and a mean value of the sale data according to office. The controller 111 creates mean value data 350 according to office showing the calculated values, and stores it in the HDD 112 (Step S5).

As illustrated in FIG. 7, the mean value data 350 according to office includes data items of "Office Name", "Mean Value of Sales Amounts According to Office", and
10 "Mean Value of Answers Corresponding to Particular Factor".

Then, the controller 111 reads out the mean value data 300 according to respondent from the HDD 112, obtains a correlation coefficient γ_1 of each factor and answers of respondents based on the mean value data 300 to generate correlation coefficient data 400 according to respondent, as shown in FIG. 8A, and stores the created correlation
15 coefficient data 400 in the HDD 112 (Step S6).

A method for deriving the correlation coefficient will now be described.

The controller 111 calculates the covariance S using the sales amounts and the mean values included in the mean value data 300 according to respondent. The controller 111 also calculates the standard deviation T1 of the mean values and the standard deviation
20 T2 of the sale amounts. The controller 111 divides the calculated covariance S by the standard deviation T1 and the standard deviation T2, so as to calculate the correlation coefficients γ_1 .

The correlation coefficients according to factor are described in a range from -1 to +1. The correlation between the mean values and sales amounts is negative, if the
25 coefficient is closer -1 than +1, whereas the correlation therebetween is positive, if the coefficient is closer to +1 than -1, and no correlation therebetween, if the coefficient is zero.

Then, the controller 111 calculates correlation coefficients γ_2 of each factor and each office, in the same manner as the above, using the mean value data 350 according to office which is stored in the HDD 112. The controller 111 creates correlation coefficient data 450 of each factor and answer from office, based on the correlation coefficients γ_2 of the entire factors, as shown in FIG. 8B and stores it in the HDD 112 (Step S7). The correlation coefficient data 450 according to office includes correlation coefficients γ_2 of the entire nineteen factors and answers of the respondents of each office.

Next, the controller 111 selects some factors each showing the correlation coefficient of equal to or larger than 0.15, as will-enhancement factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results according to respondent, using the correlation coefficient data 400 according to respondent (Step S8).

In the similar manner, the controller 111 selects some factors each showing the correlation coefficient of equal to or larger than 0.15, as will-enhancement factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results according to office, using the correlation coefficient data 450 (Step S9).

FIG. 9 shows an example of correlation coefficients of the factors. In the example of FIG. 9, each of those factors of "having cooperativeness", "desirable position in work-force", "using one's discretion", "having feeling of self usefulness to others", "having positive mind", "confidence in one's skill", "self confidence" has a high correlation coefficient, wherein the sales amounts and mean values of the answers are in positive correlation. The controller 111 selects the factors (2), (4), (6), (9), (11), (13), and (19), as will-enhancement factors contributing to enhance workers' will to achieve business results.

The controller 111 sets thus selected factor (1) as a will-enhancement factor (1), the factor (4) as a will-enhancement factor '2', the factor (6) as a will-enhancement factor '3', the factor (9) as a will-enhancement factor '4', the factor (11) as a will-enhancement factor '5', the factor (13) as a will-enhancement factor '6', and the factor (19) as a will-

Similarly, the controller 111 reads out the mean value data 350 stored in the HDD

112, while using data representing predetermined offices and the will-enhancement factors as keys. Then, the controller 111 creates a vector of the mean values of each will-enhancement factor, according to office. As shown in FIG. 11B, the controller 111 stores vector data 650 according to office, which includes office names and vectors of the
 5 respective offices in association with each other, in the HDD 112 (Step S13).

The controller 111 reads out the vector data 600 from the HDD 112, and aggregates (groups) vectors by cluster analysis into the same cluster including the one or more vectors showing similar tendency, so as to create a plurality of clusters C_k ($k=1, 2, \dots$).

After this, the controller 111 obtains a mean value of the vectors which form the
 10 cluster C_k ($k=1, 2, \dots$).

The controller 111 creates, as shown in FIG. 12A, base data 700 according to respondent, which includes data representing cluster names and the calculated vectors in association with each other. The controller 111 stores the created base data 700 in the HDD 112, as base data (hereinafter, referred to as base data regarding clusters of sales
 15 persons) for determining properties of the sales person which are related to the will-enhancement factors (Step S14).

Note that, as the method for aggregating (grouping) a plurality of vectors, which is used in the system of this embodiment, there is employed a Ward's clustering technique for aggregating vectors closer to each other which are included in the vector data 600
 20 according to respondent, using Euclidean Geometry.

Similarly, the controller 111 reads out the vector data 650 according to office from the HDD 112, and aggregates a plurality of vectors showing similar tendency by cluster analysis into the same cluster, so as to create a plurality of clusters C_k ($k=1, 2, \dots$).

FIG. 13 is a diagram exemplarily showing clusters according to office. FIG. 13
 25 shows seven clusters of clusters C1 to C7. Each of the clusters a label showing characteristics of the cluster, and at least one number which represent an office corresponding to this cluster.

For example, in terms of the cluster C1, the label shows A-type (super high level), whereas the number is 17, which means an office represented by 17 is classified in this cluster C1.

After this, the controller 111 calculates a mean value of the vectors forming the
5 clusters C1 to C7 according to office.

The controller 111 stores, as shown in FIG. 12B, base data 750 including cluster names and the calculated vectors in association with each other, in the HDD 112, as base data (hereinafter, referred to as base data of clusters according to office) for determining properties of offices which are related to the will-enhancement factors (Step S15), and
10 thus completing the procedures of the flow.

2) The determination process which is carried out by the system of this embodiment will now be explained with reference to the flowchart of FIG. 14.

This determination process is explained with an example in which properties of particular sales persons which are related to the selected will-enhancement factors are
15 determined.

The sales person whose properties related to the selected will-enhancement factors to be determined accesses the server 11 from the local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j and requests the questionnaire for determining the property.

The controller 111 of the server 11 receives the request. In response to the request,
20 the controller 111 readouts the questionnaire stored in the HDD 112 and sends it to the local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j. The browser of the local terminal 12-i or the remote terminal 15-j display pages showing questions necessary for determining property or characteristics of the sales persons with respect to the will-enhancement factors (Step S21). The questionnaire may be same as that shown in FIG. 3, or it may
25 include questions only relating to the selected will-enhancement factors.

As shown in FIG. 15, displayed first page 800a include a portion (text box) 801a for inputting an office of each corresponding respondent, a portion 802a for inputting the

respondent's name, a portion 803a for inputting an sales amount of business done by the respondent, and a plurality of questions 804a corresponding to the seven reliable will-enhancement factors. Next to each of the questions 804a, a portion 805a for inputting a numerical value representing the answer of the question is arranged.

- 5 Note that the following pages including and after the second page 800b includes only the above question 804.

The numerical values corresponding one of which is input in the portion 805a include the following numerals from 1 to 5:

- 10 1. Strongly;
2. Somehow;
3. Maybe or Maybe Not;
4. Probably Not; and
5. Rarely.

- 15 To prevent any artificial answers, the plurality of questions are randomly arranged, for example.

The sales person fills the displayed questionnaire. Once the questionnaire is completely filled out, and the button "transmit" included in the last page is pressed, the browser send the input data to the server 11. The server 11 receives the data and the controller 111 starts to analysis the property or characteristics of the sales person.

- 20 First, the controller 111 creates response data 850 shown in FIG. 16 and stores it in the HDD 112 (Step S22). The response data 850 includes, as shown in FIG. 16, data items of "Office Name" of each respondent, "Respondent Name", "Sales Amount" of business done by the respondent, and "Answer" of the questions.

- 25 Subsequently, the controller 111 reads out the response data 850 stored in the HDD 112 and representing responses from respondents. The controller 111 obtains mean values of answers in the unit of each will-enhancement factor. The controller 111 creates mean value data 900 shown in FIG. 17, and stores the created mean value data

900 in the HDD 112 (Step S23).

The mean value data 900 includes various data items of "Office Name" of the respondent, "Respondent Name", "Sales Amount" of business done by the respondent, and "Will-Enhancement Factor", for each of the seven will-enhancement factors, each including thus obtained mean value.

After this, the controller 111 refers to the base data 500 according to respondent which is stored in the HDD 112. Then, the controller 111 determines properties of those respondents which are related to the seven will-enhancement factors, based on the mean value data 900 (Step S24).

10 For example, for the will-enhancement factor '1', the obtained value is 2.7, and $2.7/403=0.62$, which is quite low. For the will-enhancement factor '2', it can be said that the obtained value is 4.2, and $4.2/4.4=0.95$, which means that this will-enhancement factor '2' is about the same level as that of the respondent. In the same manner, the properties of those respondents which are related to the will-enhancement factors '3' to '7' 15 are determined.

The controller 111 evaluates the level of factors highly contributing to enhance respondent's will to achieve business results, based on the mean value data 900 and base data 700 which are stored in the HDD 112. Then, the controller 111 determines one cluster including one or more vectors showing the closest tendency to those of the high 20 level factors of the respondent (Step S25).

The controller 111 controls the display device 3 to display, as illustrated in FIG. 18, instructions regarding his/her attitude in work-force based on the levels of the factors of the respondent, for example (Step S26). Such instructions may be printed on a paper using the printer 5.

25 After the controller 111 evaluates the levels of the factors of the respondent, it controls the display device 3 to display information, including this evaluation and the cluster to which the factors of the respondents belongs. Otherwise, the controller 111

the controller 111 may refer to the base data of FIG. 19A, and determine a cluster to which a corresponding group of respondents belongs.

For example, it is determined that group "1" belongs to clusters C1 and C2.

Now, the controller 111 obtains a difference between each will-enhancement factor and its corresponding representative value, and calculates the total difference obtained by summing the entire differences therebetween. Then, the controller 111 determines a cluster, to which the group "1" belongs and shows the least difference values from those of the group "1". The total difference in the case of the cluster C1 is 1.36, whereas the total difference in the case of the cluster C2 is 0.69. Therefore, the cluster to which the group "1" belongs is the cluster C2.

Instead of obtaining the total difference as above, the difference between each will-enhancement factor and its corresponding representative value of the cluster C1 may be squared, for example. The square may be added entirely to the rest of the differences therebetween within the same group, thereby producing a sum of the squares in the case of the cluster C1. The controller 111 finds the sums of the squares in the cases of the clusters C2 to C7. Then, the controller 111 finds the smallest sum of the squares, i.e. finds the cluster to which the group "1" belongs.

As shown in each of FIGS. 20 to 26, the controller 111 may prepare radar charts showing mean values of the respective will-enhancement factors which are included in the base data and answers of a respondent to be evaluated, in association with each other. The controller 111 may distribute the prepared radar charts to the personnel section, bosses of the target persons and target persons themselves by e-mail or mail, for example.

Based on the analysis, the system may advise the supervisors how to encourage the sales persons, or subordinates. In this case, the HDD 112 of the server 11 stores, for example, personnel data representing relationship between each employee and supervisors shown in FIG. 27A and advisory data explaining how to encourage the subordinates based on each factor and type of the radar chart which are explained

specifically in FIGS. 27B and 27C. When the property of a worker is analyzed, the controller 111 determines his or her supervisor, and extracts advisory data suitable to the subordinate and sends it to the e-mail address of the supervisor. For example, the property shows that a person is sensitive to the factors 1 and 5, and his radar chart shows type D, the controller 111 extracts corresponding advisory data for the person having such properties from the tables shown in FIGS. 27B and 27C, checks an email address of supervisor which is included in the personnel data shown in FIG. 27A, and sends the extracted advisory data to his supervisor by e-mail. According to this system, the supervisor can enhance subordinate's will to achieve result.

10 The embodiment of the present invention has been described in the case of analyzing the answers of sales persons. However, the present invention is not limited to the case of sales persons. The method for enhancing people's will to achieve some kind of results may be applicable for any other types of people, such as researchers, planners, engineers, students, etc.

15 A program for realizing the system of this embodiment which carries out the selection and determination processes may be stored on a computer readable recording medium. The program stored on the recording medium is read by a personal computer, etc., thereby realizing the selection and determination processes. The program signal may be transmitted through the communication network by embedding it in a carrier wave.

The structure of the system is not limited to the structure shown in FIG. 1. For example, a stand alone type computer may be used as the system. Further, the questionnaire may be printed on paper. The computer may read the data written on the paper by, for example, OCR (Optical Character Reader). An operator input the answer
25 and information about the respondents.

Various embodiments and changes may be made thereonto without departing from the broad spirit and scope of the invention. The above-described embodiments are

intended to illustrate the present invention, not to limit the scope of the present invention. The scope of the present invention is shown by the attached claims rather than the embodiments. Various modifications made within the meaning of an equivalent of the claims of the invention and within the claims are to be regarded to be in the scope of the
5 present invention.

This application is based on Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-171082 filed on June 7, 2000, and including specification, claims, drawings and summary. The disclosure of the above Japanese Patent Application is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

2000-171082